


MODULE DESCRIPTION FORM


Module Information			
Module Title	Artificial Intelligence		Module Delivery
Module Type	Core		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Theory <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Practical <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lecture <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lab <input type="checkbox"/> Tutorial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Practical <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Seminar
Module Code	IT3102		
ECTS Credits	5		
SWL (hr/sem)	150		
Module Level	UG3	Semester of Delivery	1
Administering Department	Information Technology	College	College of Science
Module Leader	Ali Mahmoud Ali	e-mail	ali.mahmoud@uowa.edu.iq
Module Leader's Acad. Title	Asist. Lecturer	Module Leader's Qualification	MS.c
Module Tutor	Ali Mahmoud Ali	e-mail	ali.mahmoud@uowa.edu.iq
Peer Reviewer Name	Dr .Maky H.Abdulraheem	e-mail	maky.h@uowa.edu.iq
Scientific Committee Approval Date	17-09-2025	Version Number	V01

Relation with other Modules			
Prerequisite module		Semester	1
Co-requisites module	None	Semester	None


 د. سيماء حسين نونل
 ٢٠٢٥ - ٢٠٢٦



Department Head Approval


 د. محمد محمد علي لفاضل
 ٢٠٢٥ / ٢٠٢٦



Dean of the College Approval

Module Aims, Learning Outcomes and Indicative Contents

Module Aims	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide students with a solid and comprehensive understanding of the fundamentals, theories, and techniques of Artificial Intelligence (AI). 2. Develop practical skills that enable students to apply AI methodologies to solve real-world problems effectively. 3. Enhance students' programming abilities and strengthen their familiarity with AI-related programming languages. 4. Foster critical thinking and analytical skills in evaluating AI algorithms, methods, and models. 5. Promote teamwork, communication, and collaboration through group-based AI projects. 6. Encourage continuous learning and staying updated with current advancements and emerging trends in the field of AI.
Module Learning Outcomes	<p>Upon successful completion of this module, students will be able to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify and describe different types of intelligent agents and their characteristics. 2. Recognize and evaluate the characteristics, strengths, and effectiveness of various AI algorithms. 3. Apply and compare multiple knowledge representation techniques across different scenarios. 4. Formulate real-world problems creatively and translate them into AI-based problem representations.
Indicative Contents	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Artificial Intelligence and Intelligent Agents: Overview of AI, the Turing Test, types of agents, agent environments, and agent architectures. 2. Problem Solving by Searching: State-space representation, uninformed search strategies, heuristic search, A* algorithm, local search, and search with partial observations. 3. Adversarial Search Algorithms: Optimal decision-making in competitive environments, Alpha-Beta pruning, stochastic games, and partially observable game strategies. 4. Constraint Satisfaction Problems (CSPs): Definitions of CSPs, constraint propagation, arc consistency, and local search for CSPs. 5. Knowledge Representation and Reasoning: Propositional logic, theorem proving, logic-based agents, first-order logic, forward and backward chaining, expert systems, and probabilistic reasoning.

Learning and Teaching Strategies

Strategies

A variety of teaching and learning activities will be used, including:

- **Lectures** to introduce theoretical concepts related to intelligent agents, AI algorithms, knowledge representation, and computational intelligence.
- **Laboratory sessions** to practice implementing AI algorithms and developing intelligent software using programming tools.
- **Group projects** where students analyze real-world problems and formulate them as AI problems.
- **Presentations and discussions** to enhance communication, collaboration, and analytical thinking skills.

Student Workload (SWL)			
Structured SWL (h/sem)	65	Structured SWL (h/w)	5
Unstructured SWL (h/sem)	85	Unstructured SWL (h/w)	6
Total SWL (h/sem)	147 + 3 final = 150		

Module Evaluation					
		Time/Number	Weight (Marks)	Week Due	Relevant Learning Outcome
Formative assessment	Quizzes	10	10% (10)	2,4,6,8,10	1,2,3,4
	Homework assignment	5	5% (5)	2,5,8,9,12	All
	Onsite Assignments	5	5% (5)	3,5,8,10,11	All
	Projects	1	10%(10)	12	All
	Lab	10	10%(10)	3,5,7,9,12	All
Summative assessment	Midterm Exam	2hr	10% (10)	7	
	Final Exam	3hr	50% (50)	16	
Total assessment			100% (100 Marks)		

Delivery Plan (Weekly Syllabus)

	Material Covered
Week 1	Introduction to Artificial Intelligence
Week 2	Fundamentals of Artificial Intelligence
Week 3	Problem Solving by Searching
Week 4	Search Algorithms
Week 5	Heuristic Search Techniques
Week 6	Adversarial Search Algorithms
Week 7	Breadth-First Search
Week 8	Depth-First Search
Week 9	Midterm Examination
Week 10	Constraint Satisfaction Problems
Week 11	Forward Checking and Constraint Propagation
Week 12	Arc Consistency and Constrained Optimization
Week 13	Knowledge Representation and Reasoning
Week 14	Logic-Based Knowledge Representation
Week 15	Reasoning Methods and Inference Engines
Week 16	Preparatory Week before the Final Exam

Delivery Plan (Weekly Lab. Syllabus)

	Material Covered
Week 1	Introduction to Python Programming (Data Types) – Tic Tac Toe implementation
Week 2	Implementing examples of AI and various environments
Week 3	Building a simple Agent-Based Model in Python
Week 4	Graph representation and visualization in Python
Week 5	Search Algorithms – Uninformed search
Week 6	Search Algorithms – Informed search
Week 7	Solving the Eight-Puzzle problem in Python
Week 8	Practical Discussion I – Small project
Week 9	Constraint Satisfaction Problems (CSP)
Week 10	Knowledge Representation in Python
Week 11	Map Coloring Problem
Week 12	N-Queen and Sudoku Problems
Week 13	Knowledge Representation using Propositional Logic in Python
Week 14	Knowledge Representation using First-Order Logic in Python
Week 15	Practical Discussion II – Small project

Learning and Teaching Resources

	Text	Available in the Library?
Required Texts	<p>Russell, Stuart J., and Norvig, Peter. Artificial Intelligence : A Modern Approach. 4th Edition. Prentice Hall Series in Artificial Intelligence. Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Prentice Hall, 2021.</p> <p>1. Padhy, N. P. (2005). Artificial Intelligence and Intelligent Systems. New Delhi: Oxford University Press. 2. D. L. Poole and A. K. Mackworth, "Artificial Intelligence: Foundations of Computational Agents." Cambridge University Press, 2017. 3. G. F. Luger, "Artificial Intelligence: Structures and Strategies for Complex Problem Solving." Pearson, 2019. 4. M. Negnevitsky, "Artificial Intelligence: A Systems Approach." Pearson, 2019.</p>	Yes
Recommended Texts	<p>1. Padhy, N. P. (2005). Artificial Intelligence and Intelligent Systems. New Delhi: Oxford University Press. 2. D. L. Poole and A. K. Mackworth, "Artificial Intelligence: Foundations of Computational Agents." Cambridge University Press, 2017. 3. G. F. Luger, "Artificial Intelligence: Structures and Strategies for Complex Problem Solving." Pearson, 2019. 4. M. Negnevitsky, "Artificial Intelligence: A Systems Approach." Pearson, 2019.</p> <p>http://www.sqlcourse.com</p> <p>https://www.tutorialspoint.com/human_computer_interface/index.htm</p> <p>https://www.hci-book.com</p>	

Grading Scheme				
Group	Grade	Mark	Marks (%)	Definition
Success Group (50 - 100)	A - Excellent	Excellent	90 - 100	Outstanding Performance
	B - Very Good	Very Good	80 - 89	Above average with some errors
	C - Good	Good	70 - 79	Sound work with notable errors
	D - Satisfactory	Fair / Average	60 - 69	Fair but with major shortcomings
	E - Sufficient	Pass / Acceptable	50 - 59	Work meets minimum criteria
Fail Group (0 – 49)	FX – Fail	Fail (Pending)	(45-49)	More work required but credit awarded
	F – Fail	Fail	(0-44)	Considerable amount of work required
Note: Marks Decimal places above or below 0.5 will be rounded to the higher or lower full mark (for example a mark of 54.5 will be rounded to 55, whereas a mark of 54.4 will be rounded to 54. The University has a policy NOT to condone "near-pass fails" so the only adjustment to marks awarded by the original marker(s) will be the automatic rounding outlined above.				